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In 1985, the Scottish geographer Piers Blaikie published a book on soil erosion that would become a landmark study in the field of political ecology. In The Political Economy of Soil Erosion in Developing Countries, Blaikie pushed back against the idea that soil erosion was the fault of people who worked with the land. In order to understand the reasons for soil erosion, he argued, it was essential to pay attention to broader social, political, and economic factors. In short, Blaikie argued that soil erosion—often seen as an environmental problem—was instead a “socio-environmental’ problem,” and to understand it fully, it was necessary to expand the scope of analysis beyond the locations where soil erosion occurred.

In addition to soil erosion, political ecologists have brought an interconnected perspective to issues such as conservation, parks and protected areas, mining and other extractive industries, food and agriculture, climate development plans, and renewable energy initiatives. While definitions of the field vary, at its core, a political ecology approach signals an attention to power relationships and a rejection of problem definitions that omit socio-political factors. A diverse and interdisciplinary field, political ecology includes scholars with training in areas such as human geography, development studies, environmental studies, environmental anthropology, feminist studies, and political science. For
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political ecologists, environmental issues are not separate from the societies and economies in which they occur.  

Research on environmental conflicts and social movements is one example of a strong theme in political ecology. As Fabiana Li’s 2015 book *Unearthing Conflict: Corporate Mining, Activism, and Expertise in Peru* demonstrates, specific conflicts can become a prism for broader socio-environmental concerns. In an ethnographic account of mining protests in Peru, Li writes that “Cerro Quilish, as depicted in anti-mining campaigns, was not just a mountain rich in mineral deposits; it was that, but it was also an aquifer (a store of life-sustaining water) and an Apu (an animate being).” Mining debates are about more than minerals—linking water, politics, and spirituality.

**Working with Political Ecologists**

As glaciers are retreating, wildfires and hurricanes are becoming more destructive, and coastal communities are developing adaptation plans in response to sea level rise, perspectives that acknowledge the complexities of power and causation are relevant in policy efforts, interdisciplinary research, and people’s daily lives. In collaborative settings, those who have an interest in working with political ecologists can be prepared to discuss questions about who defines environmental problems—and how they define them. For example: Who is blamed for environmental harm? Who benefits from environmental initiatives, and who is adversely affected by those initiatives? Whose claims to land and space have been legitimized, and whose presence has been erased?

**Further Reading on Political Ecology Themes**

**Feminist Political Ecology:**


**Politics of Conservation:**
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